WO

DATE: <u>2/7/13</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.	0112211	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Maria Cruz-Ventura	Case Number:	_13-7048m
was present a	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), t nd was represented by counsel. I conclude by a the detention of the defendant pending trial in the FINDIN	preponderance of the	
I find by a prep	ponderance of the evidence that:		
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United S	tates or lawfully admit	ted for permanent residence.
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offer	ense, was in the Unite	d States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in t	he United States or in	the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has substantial family ties to Mexico.	as no substantial ties i	n Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in o	court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforce	ement contact by flee	ing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	yea	rs imprisonment.
The C Court at the tir	Court incorporates by reference the material finding of the hearing in this matter, except as noted	ngs of the Pretrial Ser	vices Agency which were reviewed by the
	CONCLUS	SIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will No condition or combination of conditions will		e appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS RE	GARDING DETENTION	ON
in a correction pending appea order of a cou	efendant is committed to the custody of the Atto is facility separate, to the extent practicable, from al. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable it of the United States or on request of an attornaliser the defendant to the United States Marsha	n persons awaiting or e opportunity for priva ey for the Governmer	serving sentences or being held in custody the consultation with defense counsel. On the thick the person in charge of the corrections
proceeding.	APPEALS AND T	HIRD PARTY RELEA	SE
to deliver a co District Court. from the date	ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention by of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effect of service of a copy of this order or after the oral in the district court. Failure to timely file objection	retrial Services at leas ive December 1, 2009 I order is stated on the	st one day prior to the hearing set before the 0, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days a record within which to file specific written

fro ob 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify

Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Bridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge